

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/648,006	08/03/1998	Daniel A. Nepela	A26996D2	7041	
7	590 04/19/2002	,			
Nathan N Kallman)	EXAMINER		
20900 Sarahills Drive Saratoga, CA 95070			EASTHOM, KARL D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2832		
			DATE MAILED: 04/19/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		09/648,006	Nepela		
Office Action Summary		Examiner Karl Easthom		Art Unit 2832	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet wit	h the corres	pondence addres	:s
A SH THE I - Exter af - If the be - If NO co - Failur - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insights of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Ce ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days to considered timely. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory immunication. The ter reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the terned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FR 1.136 (a). In no even cation. s, a reply within the statu period will apply and will y statute, cause the appli	. t, however, it tory minimun expire SIX (6 cation to bec	may a reply be time of thirty (30) day 6) MONTHS from to come ABANDONED	es will he mailing date of this (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 26, 2	2002		· · -	·
2a)·□	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This ac	tion is non-final.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa				merits is
Disposi	tion of Claims		82,924	196-98	
4) 🗶	Claim(s) 7, 10, 12, 14, 15, 20, 24, 38, 39, 47, 4	<u>8, 50, 56, 63, 64, 66</u>	, 79, is/are	pending in the	application.
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/ar	e withdrawn fro	m consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.	
6) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are rejected.	
7) □ 8) 🔯	Claim(s)	50 56 66 are subje	- 76-7	is/are objected	to.
Applica 9) ☐ 10)☐	The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on is/arc	e objected to by the E	xaminer.	,	
13) [a) [Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign of the priority documents have application from the International Burdeet the attached detailed of a claim for domestic that a convergement is made of a claim for domestic that a convergement is made of a claim for domestic that a convergement is made of a claim for domestic that a claim for domestic that a convergement is made of a claim for domestic that a	ve been received. ve been received in A documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a) ne certified copies not	pplication N received in). received.	No n this National St	
Attachm	nent(s)				
15) 🗌 N	lotice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (-	
	lotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Pa	tent Application	(PTO-152)	
171	nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:			

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Application/Control Number: 09/648006

Art Unit: 2832

- 1. To clarify the record, the Examiner herein issues a new restriction requirement. That is, it now appears that the product and process are materially distinct, as noted below. Inconvenience to the applicant is regretted.
- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 7, 10, 12, 14, 15, 20,24, 56, 63 and 64 drawn to a method of making a magnetoresistive sensor, classified in class 117, subclass 1.
 - II. Claims 38-39, 47-48, 50, 66, 79, 82, and 96-98, drawn to a magnetoresistive sensor, classified in class 338, subclass 32R.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by a materially different process such as selecting layers based upon crystal matching parameters, or by trial and error as noted by applicant.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2832

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. IN ADDITION, if Group I is elected, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species in that group, of the claimed invention: Species 1: Claims 38-39, 47-48, 50, 79, 82; Species 2: Claims 66; and Species 3: Claims 96-98.

IF GROUP I is elected, Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Application/Control Number: 09/648006

Art Unit: 2832

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl Easthom whose telephone number is (703) 308-3306. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 5:30AM to 4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin Enad, can be reached on (703) 308-7619. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

KARL D. EASTHOM PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4